In V.S. District Court, W. District Missouri, S. Div.

UNITED STATES, Plaintiff, # 109-05022-01-CR-SW-OPS

V. Robert Joos, Defendant.

Motion to Remove Community Defender & Delay Trian

Motion to Remove Community Defender & Delay Trial Comes now Robert Doos to move this Court remove community defender, Parry ( )ohnson, while admitting that official recognition by this Court of the falsity of STATE convictions would resolve this case in my favor, C.D. refuses to do anything to help me obtain Adday triol for reasons as follows: judicial variew [as required by law, in that: I'm being punished prior to sentencing sailed without bail in violation of 8 th Amendment, & Denied treatment for life threatening illness); there is a fact ald ispute (felong conviction); substantive due process requires a judge to determine what is foudamentally unfair, unreasonable, or shocking to conscience of society; the actions taken against he by STATE were unconstitutional & therefor Noll, void, tof no effect from their inception; this Court has the duty to reviewacts for constitutionality & declare invalid if not]. Instead, C.D. wants me to him another attorney, which, bailing a pauper, I count. Although I have done the research to prove I was falsely convicted, I cannot while juiled & afflicted with ineffective 2. C.D. lied to this Court at the 21 Dec of heaving when he claimed he had reviewed all discovery with me a was prepared for trial other than 2000+ pages of phone cell transcripts having almost nothing to do with this case (dwhat little there is, no one has bothered to review them with me to see if there is anything relevant, and a few summaries of alleged phone culls (also unreviewed), and pictures, I've seen nothing to indicate what evidence the prosecutor intends to use. The alleged phone calls I've not heard at all, of from the few summaries I've seen, I know there is exculpatory evidence therein. I wasn't even told until after the hearing, that witness Becka Stevens is not going to be cet trial. I know this person has exculpatory avidence, but c.D. refuses to subposua her. It's also hurder for 3 people to keep their stories straight when lying, than 2, 3. C.D. refuses to subpoen a prosecution witnesses, so that they can be directly examined, instead of just cross-examined. 4.C.D. refuses to depose witnesses, claiming he court under federal rules (which he has not shown medibut if true, this rule needs to be challenged on ground that it devices me a key element of defense, to wit : sworn testimony which, if it involves perjury, can be used to preparé a defense, tif not, can be used to Discourage perjuly at trial, what little tive seen of discovery indicates that prosecution witnesses intend to commit parjury. Cops voctinally commit parjury. Briscoav. LaHue(?) U.S. Sup. : 5.C.D. has not revealed what evidence prosecutor has, that I'm a felon. 6. C. P. refuses to subpoena defense witnesses. z. c. p. Eafter hearing) celled we a liver, a fraud, & a criminal who refuses to does we therity "(referring to the Bible); obviously not understanding the original meaning of the word in Greek, & ignoring christ's command to obey God, not man, C.D. refuses to even reced my 6 page patition to U.S. Supreme Court, dealing with buil & Grand July rights, which shows I obey the low (constitution) as interpreted by Spreme Court; unlike the criminals who have personted me othe Church for over 30 years, It's obvious from his tirade, that he has spent his time chasing rumorsplies

being spread by corrept COPs, cr. minals, medice, a internet, instead of prepuring for trial; and that his oaths as an attorney & JAG officer were meaning less. g. c. p. refuses to file for a bill of particulars to discover what "substantial affect" plaintiff is claiming my alleged act had on interstate commerce. U.S. V. Lopézi 5140.5.549,558-59(1995): "substantial affect" required. 9. C. P. refuses to respond to my two, 2 page letters, corering numerous total/defence issues. 10. C.D. refuses to challenge validity of search warrants despite fact I've provided the partinent case law. Cops initially obtained access to church office under false pretenses, then used that warrantless search to obtain a search warrant, ILC. P. refuses to review any of my count filings, all of which deal with law & facts relevant to this case; choosing instead to barate me about my religious boliefs, The Church, & the folse reputation which criminals promote. He even accused me of being a member of the KKK. 12. C. D. refuses to subpoena evidence quitnesses to prove good character & thereby establish credibility (a necessity as my entire defense depends on jury believing the touth), 010 chief v. v.s., 517 v.s. 172, 186-9; v.s. v. De Angelo, 13 F 30 1228, 1232 (8th Cir). 13. C.P. refuses to raise issues of law which must be made now in order to preserve 14. C.D. refuses to prepare voir direquestions to attempt to obtain a jury of my poers who than incase of appeal. are not projudiced against me; as C.V. obviously is. 15.C.D. Demanded names & contact information of Church members & became iratewhen I refused on ground that from pest experience I know that amone associated with me is subject to persecution. Even the Church atterney is too terrified to help me . That is beside freet I coult pay-16. Being tried for a crime which is based on conviction for a past crime (false or not), is double jeopardy, forbidden by 5 th Amendment. I have never been sentenced to denial of a Coustit trandly secured right. ( see Notice & Demand : Constitutional Rights). 17. Elepetuses to prepare to present my theory of the low, which U.S. Supreme Court confirms is a valid defense. Chack v. U.S. 498 U.S. 192 (1991)-18. Even if not facing a life soutence (being denied treatment for illness shortens my life doily), I would still have the right to a complete defense (crone v. Kentucky, 476 U.S. 683, 690-17 U.S. V. Turning Bear, 357 F30 730, 733-18th Civi) which C.D., through gross negligence a blatant disregard for my rights, is danying me. So stated under panalty of parlong 22 Dec 69, Robert Josephagarita where for I move this Court to: remove C.D.; Delay trial 60 days to allow me time to prepare my defense; require court clerk to comply with my request for file stamped copy of patition for judicial review (sent 8 Decor) request for this motion; require clerk to send copies of this motion to to some for this motion; C.D. + plaintiffes sheriff is refusing (I have a signed state ment) to have his staff make me copies, provide findings of fact & conclusions of law,

oral orgument.